WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	Rosario Cota-Cota	Case Numb	er:	(09-6248M	
and was repi					s held on June 4, 2009. Defendant was presen defendant is a flight risk and order the detentior	
		FINDINGS OF FACT				
find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:					
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
X	The defendant, at the time of the	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
	There is a record of prior failure t	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um of)	yea	ars imprisonment.	
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the n f the hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the Pretriss noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAV		Ser\	vices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION					
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custod facility separate, to the extent practic defendant shall be afforded a reason States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pu	ly of the Attorney General or able, from persons awaiting able opportunity for private of or the Government, the pers	r his, or se cons on in conr	s/he serv sult in c	er designated representative for confinement in ving sentences or being held in custody pending tation with defense counsel. On order of a cour charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ction with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of	this detention order be filed	l witl	th t	he District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ne day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releaticiently in advance of the hearing bene potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be co efore the District Court to al	nsic low	der Pr	red, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria retrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA ⁻	TED this 5 th day of June, 200)9.				
		John				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge